

(SRI. KADIDAL MANJAPPA.)

Rs.

- (c) (i) Amount paid out  
of 1954 Famine Relief  
Funds ... 5,69,000
- (ii) Value of Red Cross  
gift grains issued... 2,71,781

Total outlay incurred 8,40,781  
on relief works up to the  
end of November 1953. ———

(d) A sum of Rs. 29,785 is required for making payments in full on works which have been already executed.

(The amount has since been paid.)

### Income to Shimoga Municipality from Vehicle Tax (Compensation for loss)

Q.—918. Sri S. R. NAGAPPA SETTY (Shimoga).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) what is the compensation amount paid to the Shimoga Municipality for the loss of vehicle tax;

(b) when it was fixed; what was the income at that time when compared to the present income by the vehicle tax;

(c) how many motor vehicles are there now in Shimoga District and the Shimoga Town;

(d) how many buses and lorries are exempted from paying toll in the Shimoga Municipality;

(e) what is the estimated loss to the Municipality?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(a) Rs. 260-8-0 per annum.

(b) The above amount was fixed in 1941.

As regards the income during 1941 and the present income, information is not readily available.

(c) Shimoga District. 514  
Shimoga Town. 335

(d) All the vehicles for which taxes have been paid are exempted from payment of tolls. It is not possible to give the number of such vehicles as the

period for which the information is required is not mentioned.

(e) The Municipality is not entitled to anything more than the compensation for loss of vehicle tax as provided in the Act. There can be no question of losing what was not due.

### BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

\*Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—

Sir, before proceeding with further work, I would like to ascertain the further programme of this House for this Session. According to the present programme, we have to close the Session tomorrow. Are we sticking to that programme? I suggest that we should stick to that programme and close the Session tomorrow and if there are other Bills they may be taken up at the next Session. I learn that the Government have still very important Bills to bring before this House. They require deep consideration and they cannot be hustled through. This Session has been a very long one and everyone is anxious to get back to their places and look to their other affairs. We will not be able to do justice to these Bills even if they are introduced at this stage. I therefore suggest that whatever Bills that still remain may be introduced at the next Session or a special Session may be called for the purpose. I do object to such important Bills being taken up at the fag end of the Session. I do suggest that important Bills must be taken up at the beginning of the Session always. They must be given priority and they should not be postponed indefinitely till the last day of the Session when the members are anxious to go back home. I do not impute motives, Sir. Perhaps it looks to me that the Government may be entertaining the idea that if controversial Bills are reserved till the last, the members will be in a mood to go home quickly and might pass important Bills without much trouble and controversy and without much thought being bestowed on them. I therefore suggest that in order to avoid any misgivings on our part, we do stick up to our programme